

# A categorical perspective on splitting phenomena

Béranger Seguin

November 12, 2022

## 1 Splitting phenomena, categorified

**Splitting phenomena.** Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . It is a well-known fact that elements of  $H$  that are conjugate in  $G$  can fail to be conjugate in  $H$ , i.e. if  $c$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ , the conjugation-invariant subset  $c \cap H$  of  $H$  is not necessarily a conjugacy class.

In their work concerning the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture, Ellenberg, Venkatesh and Westerland noticed the usefulness of the *non-splitting property* (which I am about to give a slightly more general version of).

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $c$  a conjugation-invariant subset of  $G$ . We denote by  $D$  the set of conjugacy classes of  $G$  contained in  $c$ . We say that  $(G, c)$  is non-splitting if for every subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  that intersects every conjugacy class in  $D$ , and for every  $\gamma \in D$ , the subset  $\gamma \cap H$  is a conjugacy class of  $H$ .

**The category  $\mathbf{ConjInv}$ .** Now let me introduce the category  $\mathbf{ConjInv}$ . Its objects are couples  $(G, c)$  where  $G$  is a group and  $c$  is a conjugation-invariant set of  $G$ , and the morphisms between  $(H, c_H)$  and  $(G, c_G)$  are the morphisms  $f : H \rightarrow G$  such that  $f(c_H) \subseteq c_G$ . The forgetful functor  $P : \mathbf{ConjInv} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$  given by  $(G, c) \mapsto G$  is faithful.

If  $G$  is a group, we denote by  $\mathbf{ConjInv}_G$  the category  $P^{-1}(\{G\})$  of  $\mathbf{ConjInv}$  with morphisms  $(G, c) \rightarrow (G, c')$  each time  $c \subseteq c'$  (this is a comma category).

Moreover if  $f : H \rightarrow G$  is a morphism and  $(H, c_H) \in \mathbf{ConjInv}_H$ , there is an initial element among morphisms  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbf{ConjInv}$  such that  $P(\varphi) = f$  whose source is  $(H, c_H)$ , given by the closure under conjugation of  $f(c_H)$  in  $G$ . We denote by  $f_*$  the morphism so obtained.

Dually, if  $f : H \rightarrow G$  is a morphism and  $(G, c_G) \in \mathbf{ConjInv}_H$ , there is a final element among morphisms  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbf{ConjInv}$  such that  $P(\varphi) = f$  whose target is  $(G, c_G)$ , given by the intersection  $f^{-1}(c_G) \cap H$ . We denote by  $f^*$  the morphism so obtained.

**Categorical view on splitting phenomena.** Now assume  $f : H \rightarrow G$  is an inclusion of groups.

What is  $f_*f^*(G, c)$ ? It is the closure under conjugation of  $c \cap H$ . It is always contained in  $(G, c)$ , and equal to  $(G, c)$  exactly when  $H$  intersects every conjugacy class of  $G$  contained in  $c$ . This is a reformulation of the hypothesis in Definition 1:

**Definition 2.** We say that a morphism  $f : H \rightarrow G$  is fully-intersecting at  $(G, c) \in \mathbf{ConjInv}_G$  if  $f_*f^*(G, c) = (G, c)$ .

Now, what does it mean for an element  $(H, c_H)$  to satisfy  $f_*(H, c_H) = (G, c)$ ? It exactly means that  $c_H$  contains at least one element of each conjugacy class in  $c$ . If some class in  $c$  splits into many conjugacy classes in  $H$ , we can have an element of  $\mathbf{ConjInv}_H$  below  $(H, c \cap H)$

whose image by  $f_*$  is  $(G, c)$ , by choosing either of the conjugacy classes of  $H$  that the class has split into. The non-splitting property for  $H$  is asking for this situation to be impossible, i.e.  $(H, c \cap H)$  must be minimal among elements of  $\mathbf{ConjInv}_H$  whose image by  $f_*$  is  $(G, c)$ .

**Definition 3.** A morphism  $f : H \rightarrow G$  fully-intersecting at  $(G, c)$  is non-splitting at  $(G, c)$  if  $f^*(G, c)$  is initial among elements  $(H, c_H) \in \mathbf{ConjInv}_H$  such that  $f_*(H, c_H) = (G, c)$ .

**Definition 4.** An element  $(G, c) \in \mathbf{ConjInv}$  is non-splitting if every monomorphism  $H \rightarrow G$  which is fully-intersecting at  $(G, c)$  is non-splitting at  $(G, c)$ .

## 2 A general definition

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a category equipped with a faithful functor  $P : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{D}_x$  the category of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  whose image by  $P$  is  $x$ , keeping only morphisms whose image by  $P$  are  $\text{id}_x$ .

We assume that for every  $x' \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P(x'), y)$  there is a morphism  $f_*$ , initial among such morphisms, whose source is  $x'$  and whose image by  $P$  is  $f$ .

Dually, we assume that for every  $y' \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, P(y'))$  there is a morphism  $f^*$ , final among such morphisms, whose target is  $y'$  and whose image by  $P$  is  $f$ .

**Definition 5.**

- A morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$  is fully-intersecting at  $y' \in \mathcal{D}$  if  $f_*f^*y' = y'$ .
- A morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$  fully-intersecting at  $y' \in \mathcal{D}$  is non-splitting at  $y'$  if  $f^*y'$  is initial among elements  $z$  such that  $f_*z = y'$ .
- An element  $y \in \mathcal{D}$  is non-splitting if every monomorphism into  $P(y)$  which is fully-intersecting at  $y$  is non-splitting at  $y$ .

Is this notion related in any way to a known categorical concept? What about the dual notions of co-fully-intersecting morphisms ( $f^*f_*x' = x'$ ) and co-non-splitting morphisms ( $f_*x'$  is final among elements  $z$  such that  $f^*z = x'$ )?

### 2.1 The case of topological spaces

**Proposition 1.** If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Top}$  and  $P$  is the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , then every element of  $\mathbf{Top}$  is non-splitting.

This illustrates a way in which the category of topological spaces is well-behaved. Was this fact observed?

*Proof.* Let  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $X$  be a subset of  $Y$ , with the inclusion  $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ . Then  $f^*(Y, \mathcal{T})$  is  $(X, f^{-1}(\mathcal{T}))$  and  $f_*(X, \mathcal{T}')$  is  $Y$  equipped with the topology of sets  $U$  such that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}'$ . Assume  $f$  to fully-intersecting at  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ , i.e.:

$$f^{-1}(U) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{T}) \Leftrightarrow U \in \mathcal{T}.$$

If  $f_*(X, \mathcal{T}') = (Y, \mathcal{T})$ , then:

$$f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}' \Leftrightarrow U \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Consider  $V \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ , written as  $f^{-1}(U)$  for  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ . We want to show  $V \in \mathcal{T}'$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}'$ , which is true since  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$